

New Mexico FOCUS: *Essential Elements of Quality* for Family Child Care Programs

Commitment Statement

New Mexico is committed to creating and sustaining an early learning system that focuses on building high-quality, comprehensive, community programs that form a continuum of integrated services. This commitment reflects the goal adopted by the **New Mexico Early Learning Advisory Council** that, "every child in New Mexico will have an equal opportunity for success in school, based on equitable access to an aligned and high-quality early learning system." Early childhood public policy reforms in New Mexico are built upon the belief that families and community must be the platform from which all efforts are launched. We believe that all work must be informed by and driven by a deep respect for the diverse values and cultures of New Mexico's families. Although New Mexico has been at the forefront of the movement to create standards within the early childhood system, it has taken great care to ensure that these standards do not impose the standardization of practice upon individual children, their families, or the communities where they live. Rather, it is the State's experience that these standards provide a framework within which programs are able to flourish, implementing unique and appropriate programs that are based on the strengths of each community. This way, community-specific programs are able to reflect the history, culture, language, and traditions that must be preserved and strengthened to support each and every child's success.

New Mexico is creating an early learning "system of systems" that transforms disconnected, siloed programs that historically have labeled and segregated children because of categorical funding streams. This coordinated early learning system focuses on building high-quality, comprehensive, community programs that are able to work together when provided with a common focus – ensuring that each child has equitable access to appropriate services and supports that acknowledge their uniqueness and enable them to reach their full potential.

This acknowledgement and respect for the uniqueness of each community, each family, and each child has led to the establishment of *Guiding Principles for the Full Participation of Young Children in New Mexico's Early Learning System.* FOCUS: Essential Elements of Quality reflect these *Guiding Principles.*

New Mexico's Early Learning System of Systems				
	Ag	jes		
Birth to One	One	Two	Three	Four to Kindergarten Entry
Home Visiting				
IDEA Part C Early Intervention – NM FIT PROGRAM		IDEA Part B EC Special Education		
Child Care				
Early Head Start			Head	Start
				NM PreK
	Birth to One	Birth to One One Home V Home V IDEA Part C Early Intervention – NM FIT	Ages Birth to One One Two Home Visiting IDEA Part C Early Intervention – NM FIT PROGRAM Child Care	Ages Birth to One One Two Three Home Visiting IDEA Part C IDEA Early Intervention – NM FIT PROGRAM IDEA EC Special Child Care

Guiding Principles for the Full Participation of Young Children in New Mexico's Early Learning System

Every child in New Mexico has diverse strengths rooted in his or her family's unique culture, heritage, language, beliefs, and circumstances. Early learning programs that support the full participation of every child build on these strengths by promoting a sense of belonging, supporting positive social relationships, and enabling families and professionals to gain advocacy skills that positively impact the life of every child.

The *Guiding Principles* and all **FOCUS** documents can be found at:

www.NewMexicoKids.org

We believe that...

- Every child has unique gifts and abilities that are to be celebrated and nurtured.
- The early years hold enormous promise for every child to reach his or her full potential.
- Every child learns within the context of relationships and through playful interactions within their environment.
- Every child and his or her family deserve equitable access to appropriate services and supports that acknowledge their uniqueness and enable them to reach their full potential.

Therefore, we are committed to ...

- · Valuing and embracing all children and their families.
- Involving families and communities as partners and decision-makers.
- Overcoming biases to build trust and establish collaborative partnerships that benefit children, their families, and the professionals who work with them.
- Providing choice, flexibility, and continuity of services and supports for families within communities.
- Making a variety of services and support available so all children have access to and can participate in opportunities that are both respectful of and responsive to their family experiences, culture, beliefs, abilities, and circumstances.
- Advancing advocacy efforts for inclusive practices that build upon unique child, family, and community strengths and are accountable to all children and their families.

We will...

- Promote every New Mexico citizen's understanding of the importance of high-quality, inclusive early childhood programs and practices.
- Support interactions and relationships that foster self-reflection.
- Utilize information about the growth, development, and experiences of individual children and families for program and curriculum development and improvement.
- Continuously improve services and supports by evaluating current practices and incorporating effective methods, models, and research in our work with children and families.
- Promote the establishment of aligned services and supports that build on both the unique assets of each child and acknowledge the strengths of children's and families' heritage, language, and culture.
- Ensure that services and supports are provided by people who reflect the diversity of the community, are well educated, and are well compensated.
- Establish an integrated, multidisciplinary system of professional development, training, and technical assistance that supports the design, implementation, and evaluation of practices that are respectful of and responsive to each child and family.

What is FOCUS On Young Children's Learning?



FOCUS *On Young Children's Learning*, New Mexico's Tiered Quality Rating and Improvement System (TQRIS), provides early childhood program personnel with the criteria, tools, and resources they need to improve the quality of their programs. These quality improvements focus on children's growth, development, and learning – so that each child has an equitable opportunity to be successful when entering school. This document contains the **FOCUS**: *Essential Elements of Quality* that provide a framework for programs as they strive to make quality improvement efforts. The *Essential Elements of Quality* also serve as criteria used to determine a program's *STAR Level* – the level of quality that is indicated on its child care license. Successfully completing the criteria at FOCUS Levels 3, 4, and 5 correspond to the 3, 4, or 5 STARs on a program's license. Together, the *New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines: Birth through Kindergarten* and the **FOCUS**: *Essential Elements of Quality* provide:

- *Common Early Learning Standards,* standardized criteria for a common Authentic Observation Documentation Curriculum Planning Process, and
- *Common Early Learning Program Standards,* a standardized process for Continuous Quality Improvement and standardized criteria for a common quality rating and improvement system.

The **FOCUS** framework is also closely aligned with the New Mexico Professional Development System, a standardized early childhood workforce knowledge and competency framework with a corresponding progression of credentials and licensure.

Just as the *NM Early Learning Guidelines* provide a framework of criteria for children's growth, development, and learning that educators rely on to plan curriculum, the **FOCUS**: *Essential Elements of Quality* provide a framework of criteria that program personnel can use to plan quality improvements for their programs.

Through **FOCUS**, the state's *Early Learning Standards, Early Learning Program Standards*, and *Early Childhood Professional Development Standards* merge. Altogether, they are designed to ensure that many more children from birth through age five have access to dramatically improved early learning programs so that they enter school with the skills, knowledge, and dispositions they need to be successful. Through the use of a program improvement and quality rating system, early learning programs will increase their ability to focus on children's learning, improve their practice, and as a result of that improvement in practice, improve each child's kindergarten readiness.



Table of Contents

FOCUS: Essential Elements of Quality

ESSENTIAL ELEMENT I:

Foundations of Quality	8
A. Full Participation of Each Child	10
B. Health Promotion and Developmental Screenings	14
C. Professional Qualifications	15
D. Ratios and Group Size	19

ESSENTIAL ELEMENT II:

Quality Practices that Support Children's Growth, Development, and Learning	20
A. Intentional Teaching: Authentic Observation Documentation and Curriculum Planning Process	22
B. Intentional Leadership: Continuous Quality Improvement	27
History of New Mexico's Quality Rating and Improvement System	30

Eligibility Requirements for FOCUS Participation



To be eligible for FOCUS, programs must meet and maintain the following:

Licensing

- 1. Family child care programs must be licensed by the State of New Mexico and show evidence of substantial compliance with state licensing regulations.
- 2. Family child care programs licensed by the military and tribal governments must show substantial compliance with their regulatory agency.

Program

- 1. Program must agree to implement the New Mexico Authentic Observation Documentation Curriculum Development Process as defined using the *New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines: Birth through Kindergarten.*
- 2. Program must agree to implement the *Guiding Principles for the Full Participation of Young Children, Birth through Age Eight, in New Mexico's Early Learning System.*
- 3. Program must engage in the ongoing Leadership and Continuous Quality Improvement process.

Licensees and Primary Educators

- 1. The Licensee/Primary Educator must meet qualifications in the Child Care Licensing Regulations without licensing waivers, variances, or exemptions.
- 2. All educators working directly with children employed after the **FOCUS** letter of agreement has been signed must have a high school diploma or equivalent (GED).

Data Reporting

1. Program must meet all **FOCUS** reporting requirements and ensure that the required data is current. This includes, but is not limited to, program data, home data, educator data, and child data using the **FOCUS** database system.

Fair Labor Standards Act

1. The Licensee/Primary Educator must provide signed acknowledgment stating that they are knowledgeable of all applicable regulations in the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA-see http://www.dol.gov/whd/flsa/) and have shared this information with their staff.

ESSENTIAL ELEMENT I: *Foundations of Quality*

ESSENTIAL ELEMENT II:

Quality Practices that Support Children's Growth, Development, and Learning



ESSENTIAL ELEMENT I: *Foundations of Quality*

A. Full Participation of Each Child

- Family Engagement
- Inclusive Practices for Children with Developmental Delays or Disabilities
- Culture and Language Including the Support of Dual Language Learners (DLL)
- Promoting Social Relationships

DEFINITION – Full participation refers to the range of practices that promote engagement in play, learning, development, and a sense of belonging for each child.

RATIONALE – Research indicates that human development is the result of an interaction of nature (biological factors) and nurture (experience factors), with culture affecting caregiving practices (Shonkoff & Phillips, 2000). Every child in New Mexico has diverse strengths rooted in his or her family's unique culture, heritage, language, beliefs, and circumstances. Early learning programs that support the full participation of every child build on these strengths by promoting a sense of belonging, supporting positive social relationships, and enabling families and professionals to gain advocacy skills that positively impact the life of every child (State of New Mexico Children Youth and Families Department, 2010). In **FOCUS**, full participation is addressed in four areas: 1) how families are engaged in the development and learning of their children (family engagement); 2) how inclusive practices are implemented for young children with developmental delays and disabilities; 3) how the cultures and languages of each child, including young dual language learners (DLLs), are reflected and supported (culture and language including Dual Language Learners (DLLs); and 4) how focused attention is paid to promoting social relationships.

B. Health Promotion and Developmental Screenings

DEFINITION – Attention to each child's health and wellness (including mental health) establishes a foundation for healthy development and provides for early detection, support, and intervention. With parental consent, quality programs use information from screenings and assessments to provide appropriate referrals while supporting the full participation of each child and their family.

RATIONALE – At a well-child visit, medical personnel check for health-related issues and ensure that children's immunizations are current. They also check with families about any concerns they might have. A developmental screening provides families with information about their child's growth and development (American Academy of Pediatrics, 2012; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University, 2007). Much of a child's learning is based

on vision. So, a vision screening is vital to detecting and providing information about vision problems that might need correction. Dental health can also have a significant impact on a child's general health and well-being. Poor oral health can lead to serious infections and can lead to limitations in communication and social interactions. Hearing loss can affect a child's ability to develop speech, language, and social skills. The earlier a child who is deaf or hard of hearing begins receiving services the more likely he or she will reach full potential.

C. Professional Qualifications

DEFINITION – On-going training and professional development ensure that those working with young children have adequate knowledge to provide a developmentally appropriate program that supports each learner.

RATIONALE – Education and training with specialized, child-focused content are directly linked to the quality of educator practice. Licensees/Primary Educators in quality programs possess the training and education necessary to support the implementation of a quality program that is consistent with the program's philosophy and mission. Primary Educators in quality programs possess the training and professional development to recognize that learning occurs within the context of positive relationships. They plan and provide developmentally appropriate activities with experiences that support the growth, development, and learning of each child, including those of diverse cultures, languages, and abilities (Center for the Study of Child Care Employment, 2011; Center for Law and Social Policy, 2009; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010; State of New Mexico Children Youth and Families Department, 2010; Vu, Jeon, & Howes, 2008; Bowman, Donovan, & Burns, 2001; Curby, Ferhat, Edwards & Chavez, 2012).

D. Ratios and Group Size

DEFINITION – Ratios are stated as the number of children allowed per one adult. The number of children per adult increases as the ages of children increase. Group size refers to the total number of children served at any given time.

RATIONALE – When educators have smaller numbers of children and smaller groups, they can supervise the children more carefully, provide more individualized attention, support children's social and emotional needs, plan and implement activities that are developmentally appropriate for each child, ensure that health and safety measures are in place, and engage in more one-on-one communication with the children in their care. One of the most important quality indicators for home-based family child care programs is the number of children related to the number of educators. Fewer children per adult and smaller group sizes result in positive outcomes for children (Galinsky, 2006; Howes, 1997; Le, Perlman, Zellman & Hamilton, 2006; NAEYC, 2005; Wisconsin Council on Children and Families, 2008).

FOUNDATIONS OF QUALITY

Full Participation of Each Child

Family Engagement

Definition: Family engagement is essential for enhancing children's learning and family well-being. Family engagement occurs when there is an ongoing, reciprocal, strengths-based partnership between families and their children's early childhood education programs (Halgunseth et al, 2009).

Rationale: Positive family-program connections have been linked to greater academic motivation, grade promotion, and socio-emotional skills across all young children, including those from diverse ethnic and socioeconomic backgrounds (Christenson, 2000; Mantzicopoulos, 2003; McWayne et al., 2004).

The following continuum of examples is provided as a reference or guide to be used in the *Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Process.* Home-based family child care programs are encouraged to use other recommended self-assessment tools for this purpose as well.

Beginning	Intermediate to Advanced	High Quality
The family child care home reflects a welcoming environment for families. Greeting families as they drop off and pick up their children, displaying family and child photographs throughout the home at child's and families' eye level, and ensuring that posters and signs are clear and in families' home language.	There is evidence in the Lesson Plan and assessment information that the program encourages and validates family knowledge and participation in decision-making related to their child's education by obtaining crucial background information and setting up learning goals for their child. Lesson Plans reflect how educators and families collaborate in establishing goals for children both at home and at school. The Family Engagement materials have been shared with families.	There is evidence in the Lesson Plans that educators encourage family members to share cultural heritage and practices, stories, activities, and language in daily activities. Program Policies and Philosophy reflect a comprehensive system for promoting family engagement at all levels of the program, including fatherhood engagement. There is evidence that parents participate in the program Self-Assessment as part of the Continuous Quality Improvement Process.

FOUNDATIONS OF QUALITY

Full Participation of Each Child

Inclusive Practices for Children with Developmental Delays or Disabilities

Definition: Early childhood inclusion embodies the values, policies, and practices that support the right of every infant and young child and his or her family, regardless of ability, to participate in a broad range of activities and contexts as full members of families, communities, and society (DEC/NAEYC, 2009).

Rationale: Children with disabilities should experience the same quality preschool program (presumably high quality) as typically developing children (Bailey et al., 1998), become members of the family child care home community through participation in program activities (Schwartz, 1996), and develop positive social relationships with other children and educators (Guralnick, 1999; Storey, 1993).

The following continuum of examples is provided as a reference or guide to be used in the *Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Process.* Family child care programs are encouraged to use other recommended self-assessment tools for this purpose as well.

Beginning	Intermediate to Advanced	High Quality
 Identification There is a written plan indicating how the program will ensure that children and families of all abilities are welcomed and supported. With parental written consent, refer children who scored as "refer" in the developmental screening to the local Part C or Part B program. Inclusion In partnership with the child's family, appropriate accommodations and modifications have been made to ensure full participation. 	 Identification There is a written plan for supporting individual children with disabilities. The plan describes the process for ongoing communication and consultation with the Part C and Part B service providers. Inclusion With parental written consent, use information from the child's Early Childhood Outcomes summary and IFSP/IEP for lesson planning. If appropriate, with written parental consent, support IFSP/IEP services to take place in the family child care home by the Part C/Part B provider as part of the regular schedule and activities. Educators must remain in the home and continue the planned activities. 	 Identification There is written evidence that the program participates in Child Find Activities with local Part C and Part B Programs. Inclusion With written parental consent, actively participate in the child's IFSP/IEP process (in person or in writing). In collaboration and consultation with the Part C/Part B service provider, IFSP/IEP strategies are integrated into the family child care program. Therapists and educators embed the goals into the daily schedule and incorporate typical peers in the activities. Transition With parental written consent, actively participate in the child's IEP/IFSP process (in person or in writing), Early Childhood Outcomes process, and the Transition Conference.

FOUNDATIONS OF QUALITY

Full Participation of Each Child

Culture and Language Including the Support of Dual Language Learners

Definition: Culture refers to the thoughts, communications, actions, customs, beliefs, values, and institutions of a racial, ethnic, religious, or social group (National Center for Cultural Competence, nod). Dual language learners (DLLs) are children learning two (or more) languages at the same time, as well a s those learning a second language while continuing to develop their first (or home) language (Head Start Early Childhood Learning and Knowledge Center).

Rationale: Every child in New Mexico has diverse strengths rooted in his or her family's unique culture, heritage, language, beliefs, and circumstances (State of New Mexico, Children Youth and Families Department, 2010). In particular, children whose home language is other than English have many similarities to any other children. Yet, their development may also be different in many ways. Information about how to support DLLs is essential for educators to know so that they can provide the best learning opportunities to ensure their future success in school and in life. Through their home language and culture, families share a sense of identity and belonging (McCabe et al, 2013).

The following continuum of examples is provided as a reference or guide to be used in the *Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Process*. Programs are encouraged to use other recommended self-assessment tools for this purpose as well.

Beginning	Intermediate to Advanced	High Quality
Culture and Language The family child care home ensures materials and visuals that are used reflect the culture and language of the children and families they serve. Educators learn from families about their family structure, their preferred child-rearing practices, and information families wish to share about their socioeconomic, linguistic, racial, religious, and cultural backgrounds. Supporting Dual Language Learners Educators gather information from families regarding the language(s) that are spoken at home, documented in the enrollment information located in the child's file. Print- rich labeling is visible and represents all home languages.	Culture and Language The information is used to plan activities that support each child's and family's participation in family child care program activities, including holidays. Supporting Dual Language Learners There is intentionality in the lesson plan to foster the relationship of children who speak languages other than English with English- speaking children. Implement a program policy describing how the program promotes children's home language while supporting English language development, and includes practices to communicate with families in their preferred language.	 Culture and Language Educators offer a variety of meaningful, culturally sensitive opportunities for families to participate in activities. The program makes every effort to hire educators reflective of cultures and languages of families enrolled in the program. Supporting Dual Language Learners Educators understand the stages of second- language acquisition and support children by planning activities, materials, and experiences that support each stage. Families who speak languages other than English are involved in developing policies and procedures related to DLLs and participate in the CQI process.

FOUNDATIONS OF QUALITY

Full Participation of Each Child

Promoting Social Relationships

Definition: Social skills that have been identified as essential for school success include getting along with others, following directions, identifying and regulating one's emotions and behavior, thinking of appropriate solutions to conflict, persisting on tasks, engaging in social conversation and cooperative play, correctly interpreting others' behaviors and emotions, and feeling good about oneself and others (Fox & Smith, 2007).

Rationale: Research has established a compelling link between social-emotional development and school success (Raver, 2002; Smith, 2006).

The following continuum of examples is provided as a reference or guide to be used in the *Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Process*. Programs are encouraged to use other recommended self-assessment tools for this purpose as well.

Beginning	Intermediate to Advanced	High Quality
 Building Positive Relations Educators promote a positive environment by greeting children and families on arrival, using proper names, and verbally interacting with each child. Interactions are supportive and adults engage children at eye level. Implementing Preventive Practices Resources have been provided for families of children who scored "Refer" in the ASQ-SE. The learning environment is arranged to allow children to interact in different areas and reflect children's interests. The schedule includes a balance of large- and small-group activities, minimizes the number of transitions between activities, and is posted visibly. Transitions are addressed so that there is minimal waiting with nothing to do, and so children are notified individually and in a group about the upcoming transition. Rules are brief, clear, and posted. 	Implementing Targeted Social-Emotional SupportsThere is evidence of a follow-up on ASQ- SE referral conducted by the program with parental consent.Educators demonstrate interactions with children to a) develop their self-esteem – active listening with children, no judgmental statements, responsive to children's ideas, recognizing children's efforts; b) encourage autonomy by providing children with opportunities to make choices, allowing children time to respond or complete tasks independently, creating opportunities for decision-making, problem-solving, and working together and teaching children strategies for self-regulating and/or self- monitoring behaviors; and c) promoting children's individualized emotional regulation by helping them recognize emotional cues, identify appropriate choices, and solve problems.	Addressing Concerning Behaviors If applicable, and with written parental consent, educators actively participate in the child's social-emotional support plans/strategies. In collaboration and consultation with the mental health agency/individual(s) supporting the child and family, strategies are integrated into the family child care home. Mental health agency/individual(s) and educators embed the goals into the daily schedule and, as appropriate, incorporate typical peers in the activities.

FOUNDATIONS OF QUALITY				
Health Promotion and Developmental Screenings				
2+ STAR (Year 1) Criteria	2+ STAR (Year 2) or 3 STAR Criteria	4 STAR Criteria	5 STAR Criteria	
	Health Promo	tion Practices		
 2+.1 Within two months of enrollment, ensure that all enrolled children have had a well-child check according to the American Academy of Pediatrics schedule. 2+.1a Appropriate resources have been provided if the well-child 	Meet 2+ Year 1 requirements <i>plus:</i>	Meet 2+ Year 1 and 3 STAR requirements <i>plus:</i>	Meet 2+ Year 1, 3 STAR , and 4 STAR requirements <i>plus:</i>	
check has not been completed.				
	3.2 Within four months of enrollment and, according to the approved tool's schedule, thereafter, ensure that all enrolled children have had an age-specific developmental screening (e.g., the Ages and Stages 3rd Edition [ASQ-3] and Social Emotional [ASQ-SE].			
	3.2a Results of the developmental screening have been shared with the family.			
		 4.3 Within six months of enrollment and annually thereafter, ensure that all enrolled children have dental, vision, and hearing screenings. 4.3a Appropriate resources have been provided if the dental, vision, and hearing screenings have not been completed. 		

FOUNDATIONS OF QUALITY PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS				
	Site Directors/Edu	ucation Coordinators		
2+ STAR (YEAR ONE)	2+ STAR (YEAR 2) OR	4 STAR	5 STAR CRITERIA	
CRITERIA	3 STAR CRITERIA			
 2+1.1 Site Director, or staff person designated as the site's Education Coordinator must complete all the steps toward obtaining, or have already obtained, the New Mexico Child Development Certificate (or higher early childhood degree with corresponding certificate or license). 2+1.1a Explore higher education entrance, registration, and enrollment requirements, including when the ACCUPLACER exam is required in order to complete courses for the New Mexico Child Development Certificate. 2+.2 Program leadership must establish, and update annually, a Professional Development Plan for themselves as well as for each educator. 	Meet 2+ Year 1 requirements plus: 3.1 Site Director , or staff person designated as the site's Education Coordinator must have successfully completed at least one course leading to their New Mexico Child Development Certificate (or higher early childhood degree with corresponding certificate or license): <i>Child Growth, Development &</i> <i>Learning</i> (3 credits) <i>Assessment of Children and</i> <i>Evaluation of Programs</i> (3 credits) <i>Family and Community Collaboration</i> (3 credits) <i>Health, Safety & Nutrition</i> (2 credits) or <i>Guiding Young Children</i> (3 credits)	Meet 2+ Year 1 & 3 STAR requirements plus: 4.1 Site Director, or staff person designated as the site's Education Coordinator must have successfully completed at least one additional course leading to their New Mexico Child Development Certificate (or higher early childhood degree with corresponding certificate or license):	Meet 2+ Year 1, 3 & 4 STAR requirements plus: 5.1 Site Director, or staff person designated as the site's Education Coordinator must have successfully completed their New Mexico Child Development Certificate, or have already obtained, the New Mexico Child Development Certificate (or higher early childhood degree with corresponding certificate or license):	

Training: 2+.3 Site Director , or staff person designated as the site's Education Coordinator must have successfully completed: <i>Powerful Interactions</i> (4 hours)	Training:3.3 Site Director, or staff persondesignated as the site's EducationCoordinator must have successfullycompleted:The Full Participation of Each Child(6 hours)orQuality Child Care Programs for All(6 hours)	Training:4.3 Site Director, or staff person designated as the site's Education Coordinator must have successfully completed:The Full Participation of Each Child (6 hours) and Quality Child Care Programs for All (6 hours)	Training: 5.3 Site Director, or staff person designated as the site's Education Coordinator must have successfully completed:
2+.3.b Leadership Academy Star 3 (8 hours)		4.3.b Leadership Academy Star 4 <i>(6 Hours)</i>	5.3.a Leadership Academy Star 5 (Independent Study= to 6 Hours)

FOUNDATIONS OF QUALITY				
	PROFESSION	ALQUALIFICATIONS		
	3	ducators		
2+ STAR (YEAR	2+ STAR (YEAR 2) OR	4 STAR CRITERIA	5 STAR CRITERIA	
ONE) CRITERIA	3 STAR CRITERIA			
	Meet 2+ Year 1 requirements plus:	Meet 2+ Year 1 & 3 STAR requirements plus:	Meet 2+ Year 1, 3 STAR & 4 STAR requirementsplus:	
Professional Development:	Professional Development:	Professional Development:	Professional Development:	
2+1.1 Complete all the steps toward obtaining, or have already obtained, the New Mexico Child Development Certificate for the age/s assigned.				
2+1.1a Explore higher education entrance, registration, and enrollment requirements, including when the ACCUPLACER exam is required in order to complete courses for the New Mexico Child Development Certificate.		 At least one educator per classroom (preferably the lead educator) must have successfully completed at least one course leading to their New Mexico Child Development Certificate: Child Growth, Development & Learning (3 credits) Assessment of Children and Evaluation of Programs (3 credits) Family and Community Collaboration (3 credits) Health, Safety & Nutrition (2 credits) or Guiding Young Children (3 credits) 	5.2 At least one educator per classroom (preferably the lead educator) must have successfully completed at least one additional course leading to their New Mexico Child Development Certificate:	

	I		
Training:	Training:	Training:	Training:
Experienced Educator* Track			
2+.1.2 All experienced	3.4 All educators working directly	4.4 All educators working directly with	
educators working directly with	with children must have	children must have successfully	
children must have successfully	successfully completed:	completed:	
completed:			
	The Full Participation of Each Child	The Full Participation of Each Child	
2+.1.2a Powerful Interactions	(6 hours)	(6 hours)	
(4hours)	or	and	
	Quality Child Care Programs for All	Quality Child Care Programs for All	
2+.1.2b Intro to Focus (on line)	(6 hours)	(6 hours)	
and discussed content with			
Director or Education			
Coordinator			
New Educator track**	3.5 Those who were new	4.5 Those who were new educators in	4.5 Those who were new educators in
	educators in Year1 working	Year1 working directly with children	Year1 working directly with children
	directly with children must have	must have successfully completed:	must have successfully completed:
	successfully completed:		indiction of the second s
		The Full Participation of Each Child	The Full Participation of Each Child
	Powerful Interactions (4 hours)	(6 hours)	(6 hours)
		or	and
		Quality Child Care Programs for All	Quality Child Care Programs for All
		(6 hours)	(6 hours)

*Experienced Educator – Those who have successfully completed the 45-Hour Entry Level Course (or approved equivalent) and have completed at least six months of work with pre-kindergarten children in an early childhood care and education program.

** New Educator – Those who have not successfully completed the 45-Hour Entry Level Course (or approved equivalent) and have not completed at least six months of work with pre-kindergarten children in an early childhood care and education program.

Menu of Voluntary FOCUS Training Options Available to All Administrators and Educators to be offered through the TTAPs and the EC Training HUB

(Individualized training plan must be reflected in each educator's Professional Development Plan)

Beginning	Intermediate	Advanced
ELG I (4 hours)	ELG II (4 hours)	ELG III (4 hours)
AODCPI (4 hours)	AODCP II (6 hours)	AODCP III (6 hours)
CQLL (2 hours)	CQHI (2 hours)	CQI III (2 hours)

(Note: A detailed syllabus will be developed and published for each of the above so that Directors, Educators, and Consultants will be able to more appropriately match each individual training strengths and needs with the specific training – and include it in the educator's Professional Development Plan.)

	FOUNDATIONS OF QUALITY				
	Ratios and Group Size				
2+ STAR (Year 1) Criteria					
	Staff:	Child Ratios & Group Size			
2+.1 Maintain ratios and group size in the <i>NM Child Care</i> <i>Licensing Regulations</i>	Meet 2+ Year 1 requirements <i>plus:</i>	Meet 2+ Year 1 and 3 STAR requirements <i>plus:</i>	5.1 Maintain ratios and group size as required by the <i>National Association for Family Child Care</i> accreditation standards.		



ESSENTIAL ELEMENT II: Quality Practices that Support Children's Growth, Development, and Learning

A. Intentional Teaching: Authentic Observation Documentation and Curriculum Planning Process

DEFINITION – New Mexico has created a comprehensive system for utilizing the *New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines: Birth through Kindergarten* as the framework and criteria for an authentic assessment process and curriculum planning.

RATIONALE – Research and best practice in early childhood care and education emphasize the importance of carefully observing and assessing children within the context of their daily activities – and using those observations for curriculum planning and the ongoing monitoring of each child's growth, development, and learning. These criteria-based observations are the best way to plan developmentally appropriate curriculum that acknowledges each child's culture, language, and ability (Gao, & Grisham-Brown, 2011; NAEYC, 2005; New Mexico PreK, 2012; Zaslow et al, 2010; Riley Ayers et al, 2010; RAND Corporation, 2012).

B. Intentional Leadership: Continuous Quality Improvement

DEFINITION – *Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI)* is a proven strategy to increase and sustain efforts to improve a program's quality. *CQI* is considered to be an appropriate approach for a Tiered Quality Rating and Improvement System (TQRIS) because it provides a clear framework for home-based family child care programs that are moving from one level of quality to the next. *CQI* uses data to inform and guide a program's efforts to improve their quality, thereby influencing positive outcomes for children. *CQI* is a four-step cycle:

- *PLAN* establishing a plan of action by identifying, describing, and analyzing strengths and needs;
- DO implementing the plan of action and making programmatic changes;
- STUDY reflecting on the actions taken and learning from what has been done; and
- *ACT* implementing successful changes into daily practice and determining what needs to be done next.

CQI relies on an organizational culture that is proactive, supports continuous learning, and is firmly grounded in the overall *mission*, *vision*, *and values* of a program. Perhaps most importantly, it is dependent upon the active inclusion and participation of everyone involved with the program – licensee, educators, families, and **FOCUS** quality partners.

RATIONALE – The *Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI)* process is an essential element of **FOCUS** because it focuses on 1) learning what is working well to promote each child's growth, development, and learning; 2) systematically identifying programmatic challenges; and 3) targeting strategies to maintain and build upon successes. In **FOCUS**, program leadership guides the *PLAN – DO – STUDY – ACT CQI* cycle for the program.

- 1. Authentic Observation Documentation and Curriculum Planning
- 2. Family Engagement
- 3. Inclusive Practices for Children with Developmental Delays or Disabilities
- 4. Culture and Language Including the Support of Dual Language Learners (DLL)
- 5. Promoting Social Relationships
- 6. Health Promotion and Developmental Screenings
- 7. Professional Qualifications
 - Licensee/Primary Educator
 - Second Educators
- 8. Ratios and Group Size
- 9. Environments
- 10. Program Administration and Leadership

The **FOCUS** *CQI* process is more than a plan on paper, it is a culture of change created, embraced, and guided by a collective vision and willingness to work on moving forward with actions that benefit children, their families, and the program as a whole (Deming, 2000; Miller, 2014; Wiggins & Mathias, 2013).

The **FOCUS** *CQI* process will be verified each year. Verification includes *CQI* process implementation and documentation of progress, utilizing recommended tools as a source of evidence. Updates and progress must be documented quarterly by the last days of March, June, September, and December.

QUALITY PRACTICES THAT SUPPORT CHILDREN'S GROWTH, DEVELOPMENT, AND LEARNING				
Intentional Teaching: Authentic Observation Documentation and Curriculum Planning Process				
2+ STAR (Year 1) Criteria	2+ STAR (Year 2) <i>or</i> 3 STAR Criteria	4 STAR Criteria	5 STAR Criteria	
	Infants an	d Toddlers		
Meet the following requirements:	Meet 2+ Year 1 requirements <i>plus:</i>	Meet 2+ Year 1 and 3 STAR requirements <i>plus:</i>	Meet 2+ Year 1 , 3 STAR , and 4 STAR requirements <i>plus:</i>	
2+.1 Using the New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines: Birth through Kindergarten, educators must complete 2 focused observations for each child using the NM Portfolio Collection Forms under the domains Beginning to Know About Ourselves and Others, Beginning to Communicate, and Beginning to Move and Do for each child within 45 days of enrollment and every four months thereafter.	3.1 Using the New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines: Birth through Kindergarten, educators must complete 3 focused observations for each child using the NM Portfolio Collection Forms under the domains Beginning to Know About Ourselves and Others, Beginning to Communicate, and Beginning to Move and Do for each child within 45 days of enrollment and every four months thereafter.	4.1 Using the New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines: Birth through Kindergarten, educators must complete 4 focused observations for each child using the NM Portfolio Collection Forms under the domains Beginning to Know About Ourselves and Others, Beginning to Communicate, Beginning to Move and Do, and Beginning to Build Concepts for each child within 45 days of enrollment and every four months thereafter.	5.1 Using the New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines: Birth through Kindergarten, educators must complete 5 focused observations for each child using the NM Portfolio Collection Forms under the domains Beginning to Know About Ourselves and Others, Beginning to Communicate, Beginning to Move and Do, Beginning to Build Concepts, and Approaches to Learning for each child within 45 days of enrollment and every four months thereafter.	
2+.2 Child observations must use objective language.	3.2 Child observations must use objective language and match the domains and components.	4.2 Child observations must use objective language, match the domains and components, and include contextual detail.		
	3.3 Use information from multiple sources, such as assessments, ongoing child observations, and family input, to complete the NM Weekly Lesson Plan Form or approved alternate lesson plan form.			

	Infants and Tod	dlers continued	
2+.4 Make each week's lesson plan available for families every Monday (or first day of the program week).			
2+.5 Hold a family/educator conference at the end of the school year to share information gathered on the completed <i>NM Portfolio Collection Forms</i> .	3.5 Hold a family/educator conference three times per year to share information gathered on the completed <i>NM Portfolio Collection Forms</i> .		
	3.6 Formally reflect on program practices weekly by completing the reflection section of the NM Weekly Lesson Plan Form or approved alternate lesson plan form.	4.6 Formally reflect on program practices weekly by completing the reflection section of the NM Weekly Lesson Plan Form or approved a l t e r n a t e lesson plan form. Incorporate reflections into lesson plans to document planned changes in practices and/or the physical environment.	
2+.7 Educators must have at least two hours of weekly planning time to review child assessment information and for curriculum planning.			
			5.8 For children with an IFSP: With written consent, actively participate in the child's IFSP process, Early Childhood Outcomes process, and the Transition Conference.

QUALITY PRACTICES THAT SUPPORT CHILDREN'S GROWTH, DEVELOPMENT, AND LEARNING					
Intentional Teaching: Authentic Observation Documentation and Curriculum Planning Process					
2+ STAR (Year 1) Criteria	2+ STAR (Year 2) or 3 STAR Criteria	4 STAR Criteria	5 STAR Criteria		
	Presch	oolers			
Meet the following requirements:	Meet 2+ Year 1 requirements <i>plus:</i> 3.1 Using the <i>New Mexico Early</i> <i>Learning Guidelines: Birth through</i> <i>Kindergarten,</i> educators must complete the <i>NM Quick Look Recording Sheets</i> and report data for 8 Essential Indicators (EIs): • 1.1 (Body Coordination and Strength) • 1.2 (Balance and Spatial Awareness) • 2.2 (Fine Motor) • 5.1 (Listens with Understanding) • 7.1 (Interest in Books) • 9.2 (Uses Numbers and Counting) • 10.1 (Recognizes Shapes) • 23.2 (Interest in Learning New Things) This reporting must be done for each child within 45 days of enrollment and every six months thereafter.	 Meet 2+ Year 1 and 3 STAR requirements <i>plus:</i> 4.1 Using the <i>New Mexico Early</i> <i>Learning Guidelines: Birth through</i> <i>Kindergarten,</i> educators must complete the <i>NM Quick Look Recording Sheets</i> and report data for 11 Essential Indicators (EIs): 1.1 (Body Coordination and Strength) 1.2 (Balance and Spatial Awareness) 2.2 (Fine Motor) 5.1 (Listens with Understanding) 5.3 (Phonological Awareness) 7.1 (Interest in Books) 9.2 (Uses Numbers and Counting) 10.1 (Recognizes Shapes) 12.1 (Sorts and Classifies) 19.1 (Cares for Personal and Group Possessions) 23.2 (Interest in Learning New Things) This reporting must be done for each child within 45 days of enrollment and every six months thereafter. 	Meet 2+ Year 1, 3 STAR, and 4 STAR requirements <i>plus:</i> 5.1 Using the <i>New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines: Birth through Kindergarten,</i> educators must complete the <i>NM Quick Look Recording Sheets</i> and report data for 14 Essential Indicators (EIs): • 1.1 (Body Coordination and Strength) • 1.2 (Balance and Spatial Awareness) • 2.2 (Fine Motor) • 5.1 (Listens with Understanding) • 5.3 (Phonological Awareness) • 7.1 (Interest in Books) • 7.4 (Concepts of Print) • 9.2 (Uses Numbers and Counting) • 10.1 (Recognizes Shapes) • 12.1 (Sorts and Classifies) • 14.3 (Makes Predictions) • 19.1 (Cares for Personal and Group Possessions) • 23.2 (Interest in Learning New Things) • 24.2 (Develops Independence) This reporting must be done for each child within 45 days of enrollment and every six months thereafter.		

Preschoolers <i>continued</i>				
2+.2 Complete portfolio documentation for EI 8.3 (Early Stages of Writing) and EI 11.3 (Measurement) using the <i>NM Portfolio Collection Forms</i> specifically designed for these EIs.			5.2 Complete portfolio documentation for El 7.2 (Comprehension of Stories Read Aloud), El 8.3 (Early Stages of Writing), El 11.3 (Measurement), and IE 14.1 (Uses Senses to Observe and Explore) using the <i>NM Portfolio</i> <i>Collection Forms</i> specifically designed for these Els.	
2+.2a Collect samples of children's creative work to support EI 13.1 (Communicates through Creative Activities).		4.2a Embed EIs 6.1 (Converses in Home Language) and 20.1 (Plays with others) in portfolio documentation.	5.2a Embed Els 6.1 (Converses in Home Language), 17.4 (Expresses Cultural Influences), 20.1 (Plays with Others), 20.2 (Problem Solving), 25.3 (Role Plays), and 27.1 (Focuses and Completes Tasks) in portfolio documentation.	
2+.3 Child observations must use objective language.	3.3 Child observations must use objective language, match essential indicators, and have a rubric rating assigned.	4.3 Child observations must use objective language, match essential indicators, have a rubric rating assigned, and include contextual detail to support embedded Els.		
2+.4 Hold a family/educator conference at the end of the school year to share information gathered on the completed <i>NM Portfolio Collection Forms.</i> Share samples of children's creative work for EI 13.1.	3.4 Hold a family/educator conference after each of the designated reporting periods to share information gathered on the NM Quick Look Recording Sheets and the completed NM Portfolio Collection Forms. Share samples of children's creative work for El 13.1.			
	3.5 After each designated reporting period, enter rubric ratings from completed <i>NM Quick Look Recording Sheets</i> and <i>NM Portfolio Collection Forms</i> for EI 8.3 (Early Stages of Writing) and EI 11.3 (Measurement) for all children into the web-based data collection system.	4.5 After each designated reporting period, enter rubric ratings from completed <i>NM Quick Look Recording Sheets</i> and <i>NM Portfolio Collection Forms</i> for EI 8.3 (Early Stages of Writing), EI 11.3 (Measurement), and EI 14.1 (Uses Senses to Observe and Explore) for all children into the web-based data collection system.	5.5 After each designated reporting period, enter rubric ratings from completed <i>NM Quick Look Recording Sheets</i> and <i>NM Portfolio Collection Forms</i> for EI 7.2 (Comprehension of Stories Read Aloud), EI 8.3 (Early Stages of Writing), EI 11.3 (Measurement), and EI 14.1 (Uses Senses to Observe and Explore) for all children into the web-based data collection system.	
			5.5a For children with an IEP – With written consent, actively participate in the child's IEP process, Early Childhood Outcomes process, and the Transition Conference.	

QUALITY PRACTICES THAT SUPPORT CHILDREN'S GROWTH. DEVELOPMENT. AND LEARNING					
Intentional Teaching:	Intentional Teaching: Authentic Observation Documentation and Curriculum Planning Process				
2+ STAR (Year 1) Criteria	2+ STAR (Year 2) or 3 STAR Criteria	4 STAR Criteria	5 STAR Criteria		
	Preschooler	s continued			
	3.6 Use information from multiple sources, such as assessments, ongoing child observations, and family input, to complete the <i>NM Weekly Lesson Plan Form</i> or approved alternate lesson plan form.				
2+.7 Make each week's Lesson Plan available for families every Monday (or first day of the program week).					
	3.8 Formally reflect on program practices weekly by completing the reflection section of the <i>NM Weekly Lesson Plan Form</i> or approved alternate lesson plan form	4.8 Formally reflect on program practices weekly by completing the reflection section of the <i>NM Weekly Lesson Plan Form</i> or approved alternate lesson plan form. Incorporate reflections into lesson plans to document planned changes in practices and/or the physical environment.			
	3.9 Educators must have at least two hours of weekly planning time to review child assessment information and for curriculum planning.				

	QUALITY PRACTICES THAT SUPPORT CHILDREN'S GROWTH, DEVELOPMENT, AND LEARNING					
	Intentional Leadership: Continuous Quality Improvement					
CQI	2+ STAR (Year 1)	2+ STAR (Year 2) or	4 STAR	5 STAR		
Cycle	Criteria	3 STAR Criteria	Criteria	Criteria		
Ζ		Program	Planning			
PLA	Meet the following requirements: Use the program's mission, philosophy, and curriculum statements to guide the CQI process.	Meet 2+ Year 1 requirements <i>plus:</i>	Meet 2+ Year 1 and 3 STAR requirements <i>plus:</i>	Meet 2+ Year 1, 3 STAR , and 4 STAR requirements <i>plus:</i>		
		Align the program's mission, philosophy, and curriculum statements with the <i>Guiding Principles</i> <i>for the Full Participation of Young</i> <i>Children.</i> Document this alignment in the Family Handbook.				
	Annually, administer the <i>CQI</i> Strengths and Needs Survey with families and educators to use as a source of evidence for program planning.					

10 Essential Elements of Program Quality

- **1.** Authentic Observation Documentation and Curriculum Planning
- 2. Family Engagement
- 3. Inclusive Practices for Children with Developmental Delays or Disabilities
- 4. Culture and Language Including the Support of Dual Language Learners (DLL)
- 5. Promoting Social Relationships
- 6. Health Promotion and Developmental Screenings
- 7. Professional Qualifications
 - Licensee/Primary Educator
 - Second Educators
- **8.** *Ratios and Group Size*
- 9. Environments
- **10.** Program Administration and Leadership

	QUALITY PRACTICES THAT SUPPORT CHILDREN'S GROWTH, DEVELOPMENT, AND LEARNING					
	Intentional Leadership: Continuous Quality Improvement					
CQI Cycle	2+ STAR (Year 1) Criteria	2+ STAR (Year 2) or 3 STAR Criteria	4 STAR Criteria	5 STAR Criteria		
Ζ		Program Planning continued				
PLAI	Gather other appropriate sources of evidence relevant to four Essential Elements of Program Quality: 1, 2, 5, and 7.	Gather other appropriate sources of evidence relevant to at least five Essential Elements of Program Quality: 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7.				
			Utilize at least one additional recommended self-assessment tool for program planning.			
				Include community partners in the self-assessment process.		
	Review the <i>CQI Survey</i> results and other sources of evidence to identify program strengths in Essential Elements 1, 2, 5, and 7.	Establish a team to review the <i>CQI</i> <i>Survey</i> results and other sources of evidence to identify program strengths in Essential Elements 1,2 3, 5 and 7.				
	Identify program improvement needs for Essential Elements 1, 2, 5, and 7.	Identify program improvement needs.				
	Identify one task to strengthen and improve Essential Elements 1, 2, 5, and 7.	Identify one task to strengthen and improve each program improvement needs.				
				Over a period of five years, the program must have addressed all ten Essential Elements of Program Quality in their Program Improvement Plan.		
	Identify how you will measure progress, accomplishments, and success.					

-		Program Planr	ning continued	
LAP	Document program improvement plan.			
d	Coordinate program improvement plan with each individual's professional development plan as appropriate.			
DO	Work on action plans.			
	Document action plan progress monthly.			
	Build knowledge and capacity.			
	Measure your success.			
STUDY	Review data and share and document what is working, what h a s been successful, and what needs improving.			
STI	Celebrate successes.			
	Update progress on the program improvement plan quarterly as per schedule.			
CT	Integrate successful practices.			
4	Determine what more needs to be learned and done.			
	Consider revisions, modifications, and additions to plan.			



1st Generation

On March 31, 1997, the Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD) implemented a new three-tiered quality rating system for licensed child care centers and licensed family child care homes. The purpose of this system was to recognize, encourage, and reward child care providers for excellence in providing child care services. Programs were recognized with a Bronze, Silver, or Gold child care license designation. Although Silver and Gold license levels recognized the child care provider's efforts to achieve excellence in child care, the Bronze level did not imply substandard care. All providers were expected to comply with the regulations that required centers or family child care homes to ensure the health, safety, and emotional well-being of the children in their care. Silver and Gold quality criteria focused on Director and Staff Qualifications, and Staff/ Child Ratios. Programs at a Silver license level were also required to be in the self-study phase for national accreditation or have a well-developed and written program curriculum document reflecting developmentally appropriate practices. Programs with a Gold license level were required to have obtained and maintained accreditation through one of the CYFD-recognized national accrediting organizations. Higher subsidy reimbursement rates, above the base reimbursement rate, were paid to Silver and Gold programs. Silver programs received an additional \$1.50 per child and Gold programs received an additional \$3.00 per child.

It was CYFD's intent to assist as many child care programs as possible to improve the quality of services being provided to low-income children and families.

2nd Generation

It was CYFD's intent to assist as many child care programs as possible to improve the quality of services being provided to low-income children and families. To act on this commitment, beginning July 1, 1999, CYFD implemented the AIM HIGH Program Development Initiative, a voluntary program for licensed child care programs and registered family child care providers. The AIM HIGH Essential Elements of Quality were developed with criteria in five ascending levels that are nationally recognized to enhance the quality of services to young children. Programs serving the highest percentage of children receiving state subsidy were given priority for participation. Programs that were designated as Silver (52 programs) and Gold (54 programs) were automatically included in the AIM HIGH Initiative. Silver programs were considered to be level four and Gold programs were considered to be level five. These programs had one year to demonstrate that they met the AIM HIGH Essential Elements of Quality for Levels 1, 2, and 3 in order to maintain their higher license level.

Federal and state funding was provided to Early Childhood Training and Technical Assistance Program (TTAP) contractors statewide to provide support, training, and technical assistance to interested programs. The on-site support included a task as simple as writing a Family Handbook or as complex as conducting a self-study in preparation for national accreditation.

Level 1 focused on Program and Operation with the premise that the success of the program is dependent upon the leadership of the administration. Level 2 programs received support related to the physical environment and curriculum. The Environment Rating Scales were introduced at this level. Programs were expected to develop and use a developmentally appropriate curriculum, based on the holistic view of each child and meets their needs in all six developmental areas. Programs at Level 3 received assistance in meeting criteria related to professional development. Programs at this level were expected to obtain and maintain higher rated scores on the Environment Rating Scales. Level 4 programs focused on ratios and group size. The focus for Level 5, the highest level in the revised system, was related to the self-study and accreditation process. The purpose of voluntary accreditation was to improve the quality of care and education provided to young children.

Knowing that lower ratios and national accreditation costs real money, CYFD agreed to attach a higher value to the child care vouchers of children who attended programs at higher levels. When funds were available, the Department has periodically increased the subsidy rate for programs operating at the higher levels.

Twice, when at least 70% of child care providers had reached a level, AIM HIGH criteria were moved from the AIM HIGH Essential Elements of Quality into child care licensing regulations. So, in 2005, Level 1 AIM HIGH Essential Elements of Quality were moved into child care licensing regulations. And, the AIM HIGH Levels began being represented by STARS on all child care licenses. This initiative was called Look for the STARS. By 2010, 70% of the licensed programs had reached STAR Level 2, so the child care licensing regulations were again revised to include AIM HIGH/2 STAR quality criteria.



2nd Generation continued

Federal stimulus funds were used to conduct a review of the Quality Rating and Improvement System (QRIS). The CYFD Early Childhood Services Bureau convened a broad group of representatives from the early childhood community to participate in a three-day meeting. The primary responsibility of the group was to review and make recommendations to improve the QRIS. This process was led by an impartial facilitator. The group identified the top priorities in each of the major categories of the QRIS which were presented as recommendations to CYFD. Simultaneously, the federal Office of Child Care set a priority goal to strengthen the quality of early childhood programs, recognizing that states are using quality rating and improvement systems as a framework for organizing, guiding, and gauging the progress of early care and education. By setting high quality benchmarks, the federal government will be able to track investments and measure progress, as well as guide technical assistance and research efforts.



3rd Generation

Recommendations from the early childhood community, quality benchmarks proposed by the f e d e r a l government, and combined with the tremendous success of the NM PreK Program in licensed child care programs, led to the revision of the state's QRIS standards. In the first half of 2011, an internal workgroup developed the revised the standards. The revised Tiered Quality Rating and Improvement System (TQRIS), titled **FOCUS** on young children's learning includes:

- Targeted program and practitioner supports;
- Professional development to increase educator knowledge and skills and program quality;
- Graduated program standards that are closely tied to the *New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines: Birth through Kindergarten* and school readiness expectations;
- Substantive content related to child assessment and curriculum development;
- Health promotion practices and developmental screenings; and
- Emphasis on a program CQI process.

A multiyear process of phasing out the current AIM HIGH TQRIS to phasing in the new **FOCUS** TQRIS began in 2012. During this time, programs will maintain the standards for their current STAR license while they work to meet and maintain the new **FOCUS** criteria.



History Timeline



During this timeline the **Children, Youth and Families Department** has periodically increased the subsidy rate for programs operating at the higher levels of quality.

References



American Academy of Pediatrics. (2012). Preventing childhood obesity in early care and education programs: Selected standards from Caring for our children: National health and performance standards (2nd ed.). Retrieved from http://cfoc. nrckids.org/StandardView/SpcCol/Preventing_Childhood_Obesity

Bailey, D. B., McWilliam, R. A., Buysse, V., & Wesley, P. W. (1998). Inclusion in the context of competing values in early childhood education. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 15(1).

Bowman, B. T., Donovan, S. & Burns, M.S. (2001). *Eager to learn: Educating our preschoolers*. Washington D.C.: National Academies Press. Retrieved from http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?isbn=0309068363

Center for Law and Social Policy. (2009). *Provide access to training, education and ongoing supports*. Washington, DC: Center for Law and Social Policy. Retrieved from http://www.clasp.org/babiesinchildcare/recommendations/nurturing-and-responsive-provide-access-to-training-education-and-ongoing-supports

Center for the Study of Child Care Employment. (2011). *Staff preparation, reward, and support: Are quality rating and improvement systems addressing all of the key ingredients necessary for change?* Berkeley, CA: Austin, L.J.E., Whitebook, M., Connors, M., & Darrah, R. Retrieved from http://www.irle.berkeley.edu/cscce/2011/staff-preparation-reward-and-support-are-quality-rating-and-improvement-systems-including-all-of-the-key-ingredients-necessary-for-change/

Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University. (2007). A science-based framework for early childhood policy: Using evidence to improve outcomes in learning, behavior, and health for vulnerable children. Retrieved from http://developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/reports_and_working_papers/policy_framework/

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (n.d.). *Developmental monitoring and screening*. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/childdevelopment/screening.html

Christenson, S. L. (2000). Families and schools: Rights, responsibilities, resources, and relationships. In R. C. Pianta & M. J. Cox (Eds.), *The transition to kindergarten* (pp. 143-177). Baltimore, MD: Brookes.

Committee for Economic Development (2006) *The economic benefits of high-quality early childhood programs: What makes the difference.* Washington, DC: Author.

Curby, T. W., Ferhat, C. B., Edwards, T., & Chavez, C. (2012). Assistant teachers in Head Start classrooms: Comparing to and working with lead teachers. *Early Education & Development*, 23(5), 640-653.

DEC/NAEYC. (2009). Early childhood inclusion: A joint position statement of the Division for Early Childhood (DEC) and the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina, FPG Child Development Institute. Retrieved from http://npdci.fpg.unc.edu/resources/articles/Early_Childhood_Inclusion

Deming, W. E. (2000). The new economics: for industry, government, education. Cambridge, PA: The MIT Press.

Devaney, E., Smith, C., & Wong, K. (2012). Understanding the" how" of quality improvement: Lessons from the Rhode Island Program Quality Intervention. Afterschool Matters, 16, 1-10.

Fox, L., & Smith, B. (2007). *Promoting social, emotional and behavioral outcomes for young children served under IDEA: Policy Brief.* Tampa, Florida: University of South Florida, Center for Evidence-Based Practice: Young Children with Challenging Behavior. Retrieved from http://challengingbehavior.fmhi.usf.edu/do/resources/documents/brief_ promoting.pdf

Galinsky, E. (2010). Mind in the making: The seven essential life skills every child needs. New York: Harper Collins.

Gao, X., & Grisham-Brown, J. (2011). The use of authentic assessment to report accountability data on young children's language, literacy and pre-math competency. *International Education Studies*, 4, 2, 41-54. doi: 10.5539/ies. v4n2p41

References

Guralnick, M. J. (1999). The nature and meaning of social integration for young children with mild developmental delays in inclusive settings. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 22(1), 70-86. Retrieved from https://depts.washington.edu/chdd/guralnick/pdfs/nature_meaning_ajmr_97.pdf

Halgunseth, et al. (2009). Family engagement, diverse families, and early childhood education programs: An integrated review of the literature. Retrieved from https://www.naeyc.org/files/naeyc/file/research/FamEngage.pdf

Howes, C. (1997). Children's experience in center-based care as a function of teacher background and adult: child ratio. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 43, 404-425.

Le, V.N., Perlman, M., Zellman, G.L., & Hamilton, L.S. (2006). Measuring child–staff ratios in child care centers: Balancing effort and representativeness. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 21(3), 267-279.

Matzicoupoulos, P. (2003). Flunking kindergarten after Head Start: An inquiry into the contribution of contextual and individual variables. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95(2), 268-278.

McCabe, A., Tamis-LeMonda, C. S., Bornstein, M. H., Brockmeyer Cates, C., Golinkoff, R., Guerra, A. W., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Hoff, E., Kuchirko, Y., Melzi, G., Mendelsohn, A., Páez, M., & Song, L. Multilingual children: Beyond myths and toward best practices. *Sharing Child and Youth Development Knowledge*, *27*(4). Retrieved from http://www.srcd.org/sites/default/files/documents/E-News/spr_27_4.pdf

McWayne, C,. Hampton, V., Fantuzzo, J., Cohen, H. L., & Sekino, Y. (2004). A multivariate examination of parent involvement and the social and academic competencies of urban kindergarten children. *Psychology in the Schools*, 41(3), 363-377.

Miller, J., Wroblewski, M. & Villafuente, J. (2014). Creating a Kaizen Culture. New York: McGraw-Hill.

National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). (2003). Early childhood curriculum, assessment, and program evaluation: Building an effective, accountable system in programs for children birth through age 8, Position statement with expanded resources. Retrieved from http://www.buildinitiative.org/WhatsNew/ViewArticle/tabid/96/ ArticleId/170/Early-Childhood-Curriculum-Assessment-and-Program-Evaluation-Building-an-Effective-Accountable-Syste.aspx

NAEYC. (2005). NAEYC Early Childhood Program Standards and Accreditation Criteria. Retrieved from http://www.naeyc. org/academy/primary/viewstandards

National Center for Cultural Competence. (n.d.) Definition of "culture." Retrieved from http://www.nccccurricula.info/culturalcompetence.html

National Resource Center for Health and Safety in *Child Care and Early Education (2011) Caring for our children: National health and safety performance standards; Guidelines for early care and education programs* (3rd ed.). Retrieved from http://cfoc.nrckids.org

New Mexico PreK. (2012). New Mexico PreK Curriculum Policy Brief. Retrieved from https://www.newmexicoprek.org/ index.cfm?event=public.prek.MaterialsPolicy

RAND Corporation. (2012). Moving to outcomes: Approaches to incorporating child assessments into state early childhood quality rating and improvement systems. Santa Monica, CA: Zellman, G.L. & Karoly, L.A. Retrieved from http://www.rand.org/pubs/occasional_papers/OP364

Raver, C. (2002). Emotions matter: Making the case for the role of young children's emotional development for early school readiness. Social Policy Report of the Society for Research in Child Development, 16(3), 1-20. Retrieved from http://steinhardt.nyu.edu/scmsAdmin/uploads/001/784/Raver%20SPR%20Emotions%20Matter.pdf

Riley-Ayers, S., Frede, E., & Jung, K. (2010). *Early learning scale: Technical report*. New Brunswick, NJ: National Institute for Early Education Research. Retrieved from http://nieer.org/pdf/Early_learning_scale_tech_report_Sept_2010.pdf

Shonkoff, J. P., & Phillips, D. A. (Eds.)(2000). From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early childhood development. Retrieved from http://www.nap.edu/catalog/9824.html

Smith, B. D. (2006). *Linking social development and behavior to school readiness*. Retrieved from http://csefel.vanderbilt. edu/resources/inftodd/mod4/4.3.pdf

State of New Mexico, Children Youth and Families Department. (2010). *Guiding principles for the full participation of young children, birth through age eight, in New Mexico's early learning system*. Albuquerque, NM: State of New Mexico Children Youth and Families Department and the National Professional Development Center for Inclusion. Retrieved from http://www.cdd.unm.edu/ecspd/portal/FIT_Mtg/NMGuidingPrinciples.pdf

Storey, K. (1993). A proposal for assessing integration. Education and Training in Mental Retardation, 28, 279-287.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Administration for Children and Families: Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation. (2010). *Compendium of quality rating systems and evaluations: Quality rating systems assessment project*, 2008-2011. Washington, DC: Child Trends. Retrieved from http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/resource/compendium-of-quality-rating-systems-and-evaluations

Vu, J. A., Jeon, H. J., & Howes, C. (2008). Formal education, credential, or both: Early childhood program classroom practices. *Early Education & Development*, 19(3), 479–504.

Wiggins, K. & Mathias, D. (2013). Continuous quality improvement: An overview report for state QRIS leaders. BUILD Initiative's QRIS National Learning Network. Available at: http://qrisnetwork.org/sites/all/files/resources/ mrobinson@buildinitiative.org/2013-05-06%2008:45/Continuous%20Quality%20Improvement,%20An%20Overview%20 Report%20for%20State%20QRIS%20Leaders.pdf

Wisconsin Council on Children and Families (2008). *Small is beautiful: Staff ratios and group size in early care and education*. Retrieved from http://www.wccf.org/pdf/small_ratio_group_ece_spring_2008.pdf

Zaslow, M., Anderson, R., Redd, Z., Wessel, J., Tarullo, L., & Burchinal, M. (2010). *Quality dosage, thresholds, and features in early childhood settings: Literature review tables, OPRE* 2011-5a. Washington, DC: Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved from http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/resource/quality-dosage-thresholds-and-features-in-early-childhood-settings-0





For Home-Based Family Child Care Programs

A partnership between the *New Mexico Children*, *Youth and Families Department*, the *New Mexico Department of Health* and the *New Mexico Public Education Department*.

©2014 by **New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department.** All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of **CYFD**.





